

Consultation on School Structures in Coquet Partnership

Notes of Meeting

| Meeting: Location: | | Grange View C of E First School – Governors' Meeting Grange View C of E First School, Grange Road, Morpeth, NE61 5LZ | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Date & Time: | | Tuesday 24 th May 2022 at 5.00 pm | |
| Present: NCC Representatives Grange View First School | | Sue Aviston (Head of School Organisation and Resources) Lorraine Fife (School Place Planning and Organisation Manager) Deborah Anderson (Project Support Officer) Headteacher Seven Governors | |
| 1. | Welcome and | d Introductions | |
| | NCC Officers SA - H of resp LF - S manag | everyone to the meeting and those present were noted above. briefly outlined their roles: lead of School Organisation and Resources for the local authority. Has a range bonsibilities, one of which is school re-organisation. chool Place Planning and Organisation Manager. Responsibilities includes ging the consultation process, ensuring it runs smoothly and meets statutory mes etc. | |
| 2. | Purpose of N | leeting | |
| | SA outlined the purpose of the meeting: To explain the proposals which were approved by Cabinet on 10th May 2022 and currently being consulted on. To provide an opportunity for governors to ask questions about the proposals. Brief, but not verbatim, notes would be published as part of the report to Cabinet. | | |
| 3. | Context and Rationale for the Proposals | | |
| | Counc its sch Prior to structu genera | the background: il has allocated the Coquet partnership funding (£25.5m) to replace or refurbish ool buildings. o any work commencing the Council seeks assurances that it is investing in a ure that will deliver improved outcomes and will be viable and sustainable for ations to come. ed the work that had been done with school leaders in the partnership over the ear. | |

| 4. | Outcomes of Discussions with School Leaders | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | Briefly summarised the outcomes of discussions held with school leaders: A 'Vision for the Coquet Partnership' agreed. The vision included: improved educational outcomes/offer for all pupils; improved and extended SEND offer; ensuring that the community supports the model ensuring that schools work together to further develop the partnership, creating a sustainable and viable model of education for the future ensuring best value for the capital investment by NCC Main views from school leaders regarding the current structure and a two-tier structure. Noted that both models had their pros and cons. | | |
| 5. | Standards in the Coquet Partnership | | |
| | The standards in the partnership were summarised: KS2 standards have been a concern for several years. 2019 is the last validated data (2020 and 2021 data is not validated due to Covid). In 2019 only 27% of students met the expected standard for Reading, Writing and Maths - worst result in the county and nationally. This is a significant concern to the local authority and Regional Schools Commission. KS4 and KS5 performance was much improved, particularly in relation to Progress 8 and Average Point Score. | | |
| 6. | Viability and Sustainability | | |
| | SA advised that: Birth rate in the partnership remains steady (this is not the case in other parts of the county). Challenge for this partnership is retention of pupils (approximately 25% of pupils leave the partnership to attend schools in other areas). As school budgets are predominately based on pupil numbers this equates to a loss of funding for schools. (Potentially an additional £1m would be available across the partnership if those pupils were retained.) | | |
| 7. | What are the Proposals | | |
| | SA outlined the proposals: Council is consulting on two proposals: Model A – this is the current structure. Model B – this is a change to a primary/secondary structure of education (all first schools extend their age range up to age 11 and James Calvert Spence College reduces its age range to become an 11-18 secondary school). Due to site constraints with the Amble First site, we are proposing that Amble First School relocates to the South Avenue site and takes up part of the building. As part of the consultation, we are asking for other ideas. | | |
| 8. | What are the Implications of the Proposals for Staff in the Coquet Partnership | | |
| | SA briefly outlined the implications for staff: If Model A remains in place - no implications for staff apart from the annual 'business as usual' decisions. If Model B is implemented: First Schools would need to redesign their curriculum and staffing structures - there could be opportunities to look at the leadership structure and recruit additional staff. | | |

| | James Calvert Spence College would need to redesign its staffing structure as it would no longer need teaching staff for Years 5 and 6. Those staff would be deemed to be "at risk". Aim is to protect staff and retain the good teaching which is already in the partnership. NCC officers would work with headteachers in the partnership to develop a staffing protocol which all schools in the partnership would be asked to adopt. This would provide stability for both the staff and pupils. Trade Unions are aware of the consultation and can be contacted, if required, by individual members. | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 9. | Special Educational Needs in Coquet Partnership | | |
| | SA shared predicted forecasts for SEMH and ASD pupils and advised that: There is currently no specialist provision within the Coquet partnerships for pupils with SEND. 60 pupils with SEND leave the partnership to be educated in 11 different provisions. Increases of SEMH and ASD are being seen across the county and nationally. The capital funding, which has been allocated to the Coquet partnership, together with funding from Central Government and other Council funding gives us the opportunity to meet capacity needs locally. As local authorities can't open new schools the Council is proposing to create a satellite provision, run by Barndale House School, which would be co-located alongside Amble First School on the South Avenue site. Stressed that although co-located Amble First School and the SEND provision would be separate schools with their own entrances, outdoor areas and facilities. This is the Council's suggestion, however, other ideas for meeting SEND demands are welcomed. | | |
| 10. | Other Implications of Model A and Model B | | |
| | LF outlined the implications under both models as follows: | | |
| | <u>Catchment</u> Proposing no changes to catchment areas under either model. <u>Admissions</u> Proposing no changes to admission arrangements for nursery/reception pupils. | | |
| | Proposing no changes to catchment areas under either model. <u>Admissions</u> Proposing no changes to admission arrangements for nursery/reception pupils. | | |
| | Proposing no changes to catchment areas under either model. <u>Admissions</u> | | |

| | <u>Early Years</u> Following discussion with colleagues from early years it is believed that there is sufficien good provision within the partnership. We are therefore not proposing to increase early years provision as part of this consultation. | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | Transport Proposing no changes to eligibility for home to school transport under either model. If Model B implemented pupils in Years 5 and 6 wouldn't have as far to travel which could result in a small saving for the Council's Home to School Transport budget. However, this wouldn't have any bearing on the outcome of this consultation. | | |
| | <u>School Buildings/Capital Allocation</u> As stated, the Council has allocated £25.5m towards investment in school buildings within the partnership. Officers will be developing indicative budgets for any potential building work required under with a model. This would include the SCND provision. | | |
| | either model. This would include the SEND provision. Outcomes from the consultation, together with the indicative costs, presented to Cabinet for consideration. | | |
| 11. | Next Steps | | |
| | LF advised that: The consultation runs until midnight on 29th June 2022. Good to have a response from you, as a governing body, to the proposals. However, can also respond as an individual governor, parent or member of the community. Public event scheduled for Saturday 16th June at Amble Masonic Hall. Feedback and responses will be analysed, and report presented to FACS and Cabinet Committees in September. Cabinet would decide whether or not to approve and move to formal "statutory" consultation. If formal consultation approved this would be for four weeks and Cabinet would make a final decision in November 2022. | | |
| 12. | Questions | | |
| | Q – What would be the proposals around building work for Grange View First School? Officers undertook visits to schools prior to going out to consult to gather information of what works might be needed if a change to primary/secondary approved. However, unable to share details at this point as can't be seen to be pre-empting outcome of the consultation. | | |
| | Q – Has there been many responses to the consultation? We have received responses. However, need to remember that it is not a referendum based on the number of responses received. Your response, as an education professional, would hold more weight as it would be based on opinion and impact and therefore held in higher regard. | | |
| | Q – Why can't Model B be implemented sooner that September 2024? A number of factors have been taken into account when deciding on the proposed implementation date. We believe that this timeline gives school appropriate time to re-design, consult and implement new staffing structures, redesign their curriculum and enable any building work to be completed. | | |
| | Q - What happens if pupil numbers increase? When looking at re-organisations and planning building works we take into consideration potential increases to pupil number. Admission numbers more than meet the needs for the Coquet catchment area. | | |

Q – What happens if schools don't take the at risk staff?: Hasn't been an issue during previous re-organisations in other partnerships.

SA finished the meeting by thanking the governors for taking the time to attend. Meeting closed at 6.30 pm.